

Corres. and Iviali

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.116 **EXPEDITED PROCEDURE -EXAMINING GROUP 2683**

<u>PATENT</u>

Attorney Docket No.: 022395-440700US

Client Ref. No.: OPW-4407

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

DAVID A. HOSE et al.,

Application No.: 09/903,982

Filed: July 11, 2001

For: MONITORING BOUNDARY **CROSSINGS IN A WIRELESS**

NETWORK

Customer No.: 46670

Confirmation No. 9414

Examiner: Stephen M. D'Agosta

Technology Center/Art Unit: 2683

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING

GROUP 2683

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action mailed January 3, 2005 on the abovereferenced application, please enter the following amendments and remarks:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this рарет.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 11 of this paper.

Appl. No. 09/903,982 Amdt. dated March 3, 2005 Amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 Expedited Procedure Examining Group 2683

For example, Hoshen (U.S. patent number 5,461,390) does not teach or suggest identifying "a crossing of said service zone boundary by said mobile unit" as recited by amended claim 1. Rather, Hoshen utilizes convention methods of either using the locator device 22 to compare "the location coordinates sent from the locator device (attached to a subject) with approved coordinates stored in the database record" or performing a comparison "between the position of the stalker 24 and victim 28 to determine if stalker 24 is closer than permitted." (Hoshen at col. 3, line 65 - col. 4, line 2 and col 4, lines 38-40).

Hoshen fails to provide the benefits available through embodiments of the present invention, for example, generating service information "based on identification of a boundary crossing or other related change in status rather than in direct response to a service request from a system user or based on a periodic or occasional polling process." (Specification at page 4, lines 11-16). For at least these reasons, among others, the pending claims are in a condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance and an action to that end is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 650-326-2400.

Respectfully submitted,

Craig C. Largent Reg. No. 56,400

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3834

Tel: 650-326-2400 Fax: 415-576-0300 MDC:CCL:ka 60422420 v1